(Stoe & Cie, 1992a). Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1992b). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELX*-76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: *PLUTON93* (Spek, 1993). Software used to prepare material for publication: *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983).

The authors thank Norwich Eaton Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Norwich, New York) for providing the crystals. One of them (TAO) is indebted to the Research Council of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, for a Senior Fellowship.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1082). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-S19.
- Burke-Laing, M. & Laing, M. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 3216-3224.
- Matsuoka, M., Furukawa, M., Takao, M., Kitao, T., Hamada, M. & Nakatsu, K. (1991). Chem. Lett. pp. 289-292.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Rizzoli, C., Sangermano, V., Calestani, G. & Andreetti, G. D. (1989). CRYSRULER. Version 2.0. Univ. degli Studi di Parma, Italy.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1993). PLUTON93. Molecular Graphics Program. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Stoe & Cie (1992a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.0. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1992b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.03. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 950-952

# An Unusual C-4a Hydroxylated Decahydroquinolone

SAJAN P. JOSEPH, DANIEL L. COMINS AND PHIRTU SINGH

Department of Chemistry, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8204, USA

(Received 26 July 1993; accepted 21 December 1993)

# Abstract

The crystal structure and the relative stereochemistry of the four asymmetric centers of an unusual C-4a hydroxylated decahydroquinolone, phenyl  $1,2\alpha,3,4,-$ 

 $4a\alpha,5\beta,6,7,8,8a\alpha$ -decahydro-4a-hydroxy-4-oxo-2propyl-5-vinylquinoline-1-carboxylate, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, are reported. The H and OH groups at the ring juncture are *cis* to each other as are the two H atoms  $\alpha$  to the N atom. The vinyl and OH groups are also *cis* to each other. The N atom is *sp*<sup>2</sup> hybridized.

# Comment

The title compound (I) was obtained during our model studies aimed at the synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -gephyrotoxin (Comins & Joseph, 1991; Fujimoto, Kishi & Blount, 1980; Hart & Kanai, 1983; Overman, Lesuisse & Hashimoto, 1983). It was synthesized by the copper-catalyzed addition of vinylmagnesium bromide to the enone (II) at 195 K, and quenching the reaction mixture cold, as shown below. The reaction was completely stereoselective (Comins & Dehghani, 1991). The relative stereochemistry is



shown in Fig. 1, which shows that the introduction of the OH group  $\alpha$  to the carbonyl occurs from the same face as the vinyl substituent, the ring juncture is *cis*, and both H atoms  $\alpha$  to the N atom are *cis*. The N atom is  $sp^2$  hybridized; the sum of the three bond angles around the N atom is 359.8°.



Fig. 1. Displacement ellipsoid plot (30% probability ellipsoids) showing the numbering scheme and the relative configurations of the four asymmetric centers (C3, C7, C8, C11). For clarity, atom C15 (which is attached to N) and the phenyl carbon C21 are not labeled.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994

<b>Experimental</b>		C(19) C(20)	0.1980 (3) 0.2578 (3)	0.0768 0.1327	(5) 1.1095 (2 (5) 1.0572 (2	2) 0.080 (2) 2) 0.075 (2)
	$D_{1} = 1.22 M_{\odot} m^{-3}$	C(21)	0.2227 (2)	0.2084	(4) 0.9927 (.	2) 0.061(1)
$C_{21}H_{27}NO_4$	$D_x = 1.23 \text{ Mg m}^{-1}$	Tab	le 2. Seled	cted geome	etric paramete	ers (Å, °)
$M_r = 35/.45$	Mo $\Lambda \alpha$ radiation	C(1) = C(2)		1 299 (5)	C(2) - C(3)	1 502 (5)
Monoclinic	$\lambda = 0.71009 \text{ A}$	C(3) - C(4)		1.532 (5)	C(2) - C(3)	1.539 (4)
$P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25	C(4)C(5)		1.517 (5)	C(5)-C(6)	1.530 (5)
a = 13.764 (5) Å	reflections	C(6)—C(7)		1.519 (4)	C(7)—C(8)	1.529 (4)
h = 7.787 (7) Å	$\theta = 10 - 15^{\circ}$	C(7)—N		1.481 (4)	C(8)—C(9)	1.529 (4)
V = 1.187(1) A	$\mu = 0.079 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C(8) = O(1)		1.436 (3)	C(9) = C(10)	1.489 (5)
c = 18.047(9) A	T = 298  K	C(9) = O(2) C(11) = N		1.205 (4)	C(10) = C(11)	1.534 (5)
$\beta = 92.83 (3)^{\circ}$	Plate	N - C(15)		1.347 (4)	C(12) - C(13)	1.490 (5)
V = 1932 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.62 \times 0.43 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$	C(13)-C(14	)	1.519 (5)	C(15)—O(3)	1.213 (4)
Z = 4	Colorless	C(15)—O(4)		1.369 (4)	O(4)C(16)	1.401 (4)
		C(16)—C(17	)	1.368 (5)	C(16)—C(21)	1.365 (5)
Determine		C(17)—C(18	() )	1.377 (5)	C(18)—C(19)	1.358 (6)
Data collection		C(19) - C(20)	<b>9</b>	1.355 (5)	C(20) - C(21)	1.371 (5)
Siemens $R3m/\mu$ diffractome-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$	C(1)—C(2)—	-C(3)	124.0 (3)	C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	111.1 (3)
ter	$\theta_{\rm max} = 22.5^{\circ}$	C(2) - C(3) - C(3)	-C(8)	113.0 (2)	C(4) - C(3) - C(8)	111.8 (3)
$\omega$ scans	$h = -14 \rightarrow 14$	C(3) - C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	-C(3)	112.5 (3)	C(4) = C(5) = C(6)	110.0(3)
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 8$	C(5) = C(0) =	-C(7) -N	112.9 (2)	C(0) = C(7) = C(0) C(8) = C(7) = N	109.9 (2)
none	$l = 0 \rightarrow 19$	C(3) - C(8) -	-C(7)	112.1 (2)	C(3) - C(8) - C(9)	112.3 (2)
2775 measured reflections	2 standard reflections	C(7)—C(8)-	-C(9)	109.5 (2)	C(3)-C(8)-O(1)	) 111.6 (2)
2520 in demondent reflections	monitored every 48	C(7)—C(8)—	-O(1)	105.2 (2)	C(9) - C(8) - O(1)	) 105.8 (2)
2529 independent reflections	reflections	C(8)—C(9)-	-C(10)	114.6 (3)	C(8) - C(9) - O(2)	) 122.8 (3)
1/22 observed reflections	intensity variation, 2.407	C(10) - C(9)		122.5 (3)	C(9) = C(10) = C(10)	(12) $(12)$ $(12)$ $(12)$ $(12)$
$[ F_o  \geq 4\sigma( F_o )]$	intensity variation: 2.4%	N = C(10) = C(11) = C(11)	7(12)	113.0 (3)	C(7) = N = C(11)	(12) $112.4(3)120.7(2)$
		C(7) - N - C	(15)	116.4 (2)	C(11) - N - C(15)	122.7 (2)
Refinement		C(11)-C(12	)—C(13)	115.0 (3)	C(12)-C(13)-C	(14) 114.4 (3)
	A 0.17 Å-3	N-C(15)-0	D(3)	126.4 (3)	N-C(15)-O(4)	112.2 (3)
Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17  {\rm e  A}^3$	O(3)-C(15)	O(4)	121.4 (3)	C(15)—O(4)—C(	16) 116.9 (2)
R = 0.048	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.16 e A <sup>-3</sup>	O(4) - C(16)	-C(17)	118.7 (3)	O(4) - C(16) - C(16)	21) 120.6 (3)
wR = 0.050	Extinction correction:	C(17) = C(16)	C(21) = C(21)	120.6 (3)	C(16) - C(17) - C	(18) 119.9 (4)
S = 1.40	Zachariasen (1963)	C(19) = C(10)	D = C(21)	122.0 (3)	C(16) - C(21) - C(21	(20) 119.3 (3) (20) 118.3 (3)
1722 reflections	Extinction coefficient: 2.0 (3)	D:00				
1/22 reflections	$\times 10^{-6}$ (secondary)	Diffracton	neter softw	are was pro	vided by Siemer	is Analytical X-
336 parameters	Atomic scattering fac-	ray Instrur	nents Inc.	The structu	re was solved a	nd retined using
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.00028F_o^2]$	tors from SHELXTL	SHELXTL	(Sheldrick	c, 1985). Po	sitional and iso	tropic displace-
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.06$	(Sheldrick, 1985)	ment para	meters wer	e refined fo	r all H atoms ex	cept H(6B) and

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

# $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	v	z	Uea
C(1)	0.2982 (3)	0.1915 (5)	0.5463 (2)	0.073 (2)
C(2)	0.2266 (3)	0.1819 (4)	0.5904 (2)	0.058 (1)
Cisi	0.1481 (2)	0.3151 (4)	0.5944 (2)	0.052 (1
C(4)	0.0524 (3)	0.2512 (5)	0.5573 (2)	0.067 (1
C(5)	0.0031 (2)	0.1169 (5)	0.6034 (2)	0.066 (1
C(6)	-0.0170(2)	0.1881 (4)	0.6802 (2)	0.057 (1
C(7)	0.0767 (2)	0.2517 (4)	0.7189 (2)	0.044 (1
C(8)	0.1344 (2)	0.3791 (4)	0.6739 (2)	0.045 (1
C(9)	0.0856 (2)	0.5554 (4)	0.6752 (2)	0.056 (1
C(10)	0.0700 (3)	0.6234 (4)	0.7508 (2)	0.060 (1
Can	0.0208 (2)	0.4975 (4)	0.8025 (2)	0.051 (1
N	0.0618 (2)	0.3226 (3)	0.7937 (1)	0.044 (1
C(12)	0.0902 (3)	0.5019 (5)	0.7923 (2)	0.057 (1
C(13)	-0.1426 (3)	0.3877 (5)	0.8431 (2)	0.082 (2
C(14)	-0.2527(3)	0.4026 (6)	0.8359 (2)	0.091 (2
0(1)	0.2260(1)	0.3987 (3)	0.7148(1)	0.057 (1
0(2)	0.0642 (2)	0.6356 (3)	0.6196 (1)	0.094 (1
C(15)	0.0946 (2)	0.2265 (4)	0.8517 (2)	0.047 (1
0(3)	0.1271(2)	0.0821 (3)	0.8489(1)	0.057 (1
Q(4)	0.0849 (2)	0.3085 (3)	0.9181 (1)	0.067 (1
C(16)	0.1244 (2)	0.2261 (4)	0.9816 (2)	0.050 (1
C(17)	0.0631 (3)	0.1732 (5)	1.0345 (2)	0.062 (1
C(18)	0.1004 (3)	0.0973 (5)	1.0987 (2)	0.073 (2

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the National Institutes of Health (grant GM 34442) for financial support of this research. Financial support from the National Science Foundation (grant CHE 8307022) for upgrading the X-ray diffractometer from  $P\overline{1}$  to P3/F is also gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and Hatom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71744 (19 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: CR1094]

#### References

H(14**B**).

- Comins, D. L. & Dehghani, A. (1991). Tetrahedron Lett. 32, 5697-5700.
- Comins, D. L. & Joseph, S. P. (1991). 201st American Chemical Society Meeting, April 4–9, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Abstract 183.
- Fujimoto, R., Kishi, Y. & Blount, J. F. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 7154–7156.

Hart, D. J. & Kanai, K. (1983). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 1255-1263.

- Overman, L. E., Lesuisse, D. & Hashimoto, M. (1983). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 5373-5379.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXTL. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 952-954

# 2,3-Dihydro-6-hydroxy-1,3-dimethyl-7propyl-9-(2-thienylmethyl)pyrimido[2,1f]purine-4,8(1H,9H)-dione

A. K. Das, M. Datta, S. K. Mazumdar and G. Bhaumik

Crystallography and Molecular Biology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Calcutta 700 064, India

B. MAZUMDAR AND S. K. TALAPATRA

Department of Physics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 700 032, India

(Received 15 April 1993; accepted 18 October 1993)

# Abstract

The molecular structure of  $C_{18}H_{21}N_5O_3S$  comprises an almost planar purine ring fused to a reduced pyrimidine ring. An intramolecular O···O distance of 2.492 (3) Å indicates the presence of hydrogen bonding.

# Comment

The present compound (I) belongs to a series of substituted 2,3-dihydro-6-hydroxypyrimido[2,1-f]-purine-4,8(1H,9H)-diones having anti-inflammatory activity in the adjuvant-induced arthritis rat model. Crystal structure analysis has been carried out to show the effect of substituents on the molecular geometry and conformation.



The almost planar purine ring is fused to the reduced pyrimidine ring with dihedral angles of

4.4(1) (between planes A and B) and  $3.6(1)^{\circ}$ (between planes B and C). Only atom C2 of the reduced pyrimidine ring A deviates significantly from the best plane of the remaining ring atoms. The lengthening of the bond O13-C4 [1.265 (5) Å] from the normal C=O distance may be a result of the intramolecular O14...O13 hydrogen bonding. Atom C9 is bonded to three N atoms. The bond lengths indicate a double bond to N10 [1.313 (4) Å] and single bonds to N5 [1.394 (5) Å] and N9 [1.346 (3) Å]. The endocyclic angle around N9 is greater than those around N1 and N3. All these structural features are comparable to those in 2,2-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzimidazo[3,2-a]pyrimid-4-one (Bird, Nyburg & Parkins, 1991). The conformation of the side chain relative to the fused ring system is described by the torsion angles about the bonds C7-C15 and C15-C16. The thiophene ring geometry is comparable to that found in 4-(4.6-dimethyl-2-pyridyl)-2-thiophene carboxamide (Rodier, Robert & Le Baut, 1992). The dihedral angle between the fused ring plane and the thiophene ring plane is  $115.5(2)^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 1. A view of the molecule with 50% probability anisotropic displacement ellipsoids for the non-H atoms and atomic numbering scheme.

# Experimental

The compound was synthesized and supplied by Dr James Kaminski, Pharmaceutical Research Division, New Jersey, USA. Crystals were obtained by slow evaporation from chloroform/methanol (1:1) solution.

Crystal data

$C_{18}H_{21}N_5O_3S$ $M_r = 387.4$ Monoclinic $P2_1/a$ $a = 11.426 (1) \text{ Å}$ $b = 16.090 (1) \text{ Å}$ $c = 11.359 (1) \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 118.37 (1)^\circ$ $V = 1837.5 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	$D_x = 1.401 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K $\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 58-61^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296  K Plate $0.9 \times 0.7 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	Colourless

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994